```
Kcqdrasc
                          Arraignment
1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
      -----x
 2
                                             New York, N.Y.
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 3
                                             20 Cr. 0653(RA)
                V.
 4
     SHERZOD RASULOV, ARTHUR
 5
     SATTAROV, SHAKHZOD YUNUSOV,
     SUKHROB SOBIROV and DJONIBEK
     RAHMANKULOV,
6
 7
                    Defendants.
        -----x
 8
                                             December 16, 2020
                                             2:30 p.m.
9
     Before:
                           HON. RONNIE ABRAMS,
10
                                             District Judge
11
                 APPEARANCES (via Skype videoconference)
12
     AUDREY STRAUSS
13
          Acting United States Attorney for the
          Southern District of New York
     BY: CECILIA VOGEL
14
               Assistant United States Attorney
15
     SHARIFOV and ASSOCIATES, PLLC
          Attorneys for Defendant Sherzod Rasulov
16
     BY: ROVSHAN CHINGIZ SHARIFOV
17
     ALBERT YUKHANAN DAYAN
          Attorney for Defendant Arthur Sattarov
18
     LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL T. MULLEN
19
          Attorneys for Defendant Shakhzod Yunusov
20
     BY: MICHAEL THOMAS MULLEN
21
     JASON MITCHELL BAXTER
          Attorney for Defendant Sukhrob Sobirov
22
     JOSEPH WILLIAM MURRAY
23
          Attorney for Defendant Djonibek Rahmankulov
24
               - also present -
25
     Nelly Alishaev, Russian Language Interpreter.
```

Case 1:20-cr-00653-RA Document 36 Filed 01/11/21 Page 2 of 17 Kcqdrasc Arraignment 1 (Videoconference initiated) 2 THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. This is Judge 3 Abrams. 4 A VOICE: Good afternoon, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Cavale, are we ready to begin on U.S. 6 versus Rasulov? 7 THE CLERK: Yes, Judge, I believe so. I just want to confirm with defense counsel that all your clients are now in 8 9 connection with the interpreter? 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My client is connected, yes. 11 He is on the phone. 12 THE CLERK: OK, great. 13 Yes, Judge. We're ready to get started. 14 In the matter of the United States of America versus 15 Rasulov, et al., docket number 20 Crim. 653. Counsel, please state your names for the record. 16 17 MR. SHARIFOV: Rovshan Sharifov for Mr. Sherzod Rasulov. 18 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 20 MR. DAYAN: Good afternoon, Judge. Albert Dayan for 21 Mr. Sattarov.

22 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Dayan.

MR. MURRAY: Good afternoon, everyone. This is Joseph

Murray for Djonibek Rahmankulov.

23

24

25

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

Arraignment

MR. MULLEN: This is Michael Mullen for Mr. Yunusov. Good afternoon, Judge.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. MULLEN: Good afternoon. This is Jason Baxter for Sukhrob Sobirov.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. VOGEL: And good afternoon, your Honor. You have Cecilia Vogel here for the government.

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon to you, too, as well.

Just give me one moment, please.

So I want to start by reminding everyone that this is a public proceeding. Members of the public and the press are able to access the proceeding through the public call-in number.

All participants are reminded that any recording or rebroadcasting of any portion of this proceeding is prohibited.

So, we're here for an arraignment and an initial conference. We are, of course, in the middle of the COVID-19 pandemic and thus conducting this proceeding remotely pursuant to the authority provided by Section 15002 of the CARES Act and the standing orders issued by our Chief Judge pursuant to that Act.

I'm proceeding by video conference, as are counsel. I
cannot -- I can't see where the defendants are. Are each of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Arraignment

the defendants participating by video? By phone? I know that we have a number of interpreters, but I'd actually like to see each of the individuals, even if they speak through an interpreter.

MR. SHARIFOV: Judge, my client is sitting right next to me, Sherod Rasulov, to my right.

THE COURT: All right. I can see him. Thank you.

MR. MULLEN: Judge this is attorney Michael Mullen for Mr. Yunusov, who is also right next to me in the same room as well.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. DAYAN: Judge, Albert Dayan for Mr. Sattarov, and Mr. Sattarov is immediately to my side. My right. I don't know if it appears to my right or to your left.

THE COURT: I can see him now. Thank you.

So, Mr. Sobirov.

MR. BAXTER: Yes, your Honor. My client is on the Skype meeting as well.

THE COURT: OK. All right.

And, finally, Mr. Rahmankulov.

MR. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor. He is sitting right beside me. I hope he is in view of the camera.

THE COURT: Yes. OK. All right. Thank you.

So the first thing that we need to do is I want to ensure that each of the defendants have agreed to waive their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Arraignment

right to have this proceeding in person. So what I would like to do is hear briefly from each attorney as to the process by which you discussed with your client his right to be present and his knowing and voluntary waiver of that right. So, we're going to have to do that one by one.

MR. SHARIFOV: Rovshan Sharifov, appearing for Sherzod Rasulov, Judge. I had discussions over the phone with my client as well as in-person discussions, and my client agreed to waive it.

THE COURT: All right. Is that right, Mr. Rasulov?

Do you understand you have a right to have this proceeding in person but you can choose to proceed by video today?

DEFENDANT RASULOV: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right. Next, what about Mr. Sattarov?

MR. DAYAN: I have also conferred with Mr. Sattarov, and he has consented to conduct this initial appearance -- I mean, this appearance and hereafter, if necessary, by way of Skype and not in person.

THE COURT: All right. And I would like to hear from Mr. Sattarov as well.

Is that correct?

DEFENDANT SATTAROV: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: All right. Next, Mr. Yunusov.

MR. MULLEN: Judge, this is Michael Mullen again.

Arraignment.

	Arraignment						
1	I've also had several conversations with my client, and he has						
2	agreed to make this appearance virtually and to waive an						
3	in-person appearance, and he can confirm that for your Honor as						
4	well.						
5	DEFENDANT YUNUSOV: Yes.						
6	THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Yunusov? Yes?						
7	DEFENDANT YUNUSOV: Yes.						
8	THE COURT: OK. All right.						
9	Now, Mr. Sobirov.						
10	MR. BAXTER: Yes, your Honor. I've discussed it with						
11	my client, and he's also agreed to waive his appearance in						
12	person and to proceed by video conference.						
13	THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Sobirov?						
14	DEFENDANT SOBIROV: Yes.						
15	THE COURT: All right.						
16	And, lastly, Mr. Rahmankulov?						
17	MR. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor. This is Joseph Murray.						
18	I have discussed this with my client, and he is						
19	waiving his right to an in-person appearance for this and						
20	future appearances. He has decided (inaudible)						
21	THE COURT: All right. OK. So I signed and I						
22	would just like to hear from you, Mr. Rahmankulov. Is that						
23	correct?						
24	DEENDANT RAHMANKULOV: Yes, that's correct.						
25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.						

Arraignment

So I find a knowing and voluntary waiver of the right to be physically present for this arraignment and initial conference has been made by each of the five defendants. I also find that today's proceeding cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice because of the dangerous conditions due to the pandemic and the need to proceed with this case.

All right. Now, we're here to arraign each of you on the Indictment. It is number 20 Crim. 653. It was filed on December 3rd. The Indictment charges all of the defendants with Count One, conspiracy to operate an unlicensed money transmitting business and Count Two, conspiracy to commit money laundering. Defendant Sattarov is also charged with Count Three, bank fraud. Defendant Yunusov is also charged with Count Four, bank fraud. And defendant Rahmankulov is also charged with Count Five, bank fraud. And defendant Sobirov is also charged in Count Six, conspiracy to corruptly influence a bank officer. The Indictment also has a forfeiture allegation.

So now I'm going to ask four questions of each of the defendants one by one. We'll start, again, with Mr. Rasulov.

Have you seen a copy of the Indictment, the charges?

DEFENDANT RASULOV: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed them with your attorney?

DEFENDANT RASULOV: Yes.

```
Kcgdrasc
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Arraignment

THE	COURT:	Would you	like me	to read	the	Indictment
out loud, or	do you	waive its	public re	eading?		

DEFENDANT RASULOV: I waive my rights.

THE COURT: All right, you waive.

And how do you plead to the charges?

DEFENDANT RASULOV: I'm not guilty.

THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Sattarov, have you seen a copy of the Indictment?

DEFENDANT SATTAROV: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

DEFENDANT SATTAROV: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud, or do you waive its public reading.

DEFENDANT SATTAROV: I waive the public reading.

THE COURT: And how to you plead?

DEFENDANT SATTAROV: Not quilty.

THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Yunusov, have you seen a copy of the Indictment?

DEFENDANT YUNUSOV: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

DEFENDANT YUNUSOV: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud, or do you waive its public reading?

DEFENDANT YUNUSOV: No, it is not necessary.

THE COURT: And how do you plead to the charges?

```
Kcgdrasc
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Arraignment

DEFENDANT YUNUSOV: I'm not guilty.

THE COURT: And now Mr. Rahmankulov, have you seen a copy of the Indictment?

DEENDANT RAHMANKULOV: Yes, I saw it.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

DEENDANT RAHMANKULOV: Yes, we did.

THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud, or do you waive its public reading?

DEENDANT RAHMANKULOV: You don't have to read it.

THE COURT: OK. And how do you plead?

DEENDANT RAHMANKULOV: I'm not guilty.

THE COURT: All right. Did I get everybody? Did I ask Mr. Sobirov?

MR. BAXTER: No, not yet.

THE COURT: Mr. Sobirov, have you seen a copy of the Indictment.

DEFENDANT SOBIROV: Yes. Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

DEFENDANT SOBIROV: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you like me to read the Indictment out loud, or do you waive its public reading?

DEFENDANT SOBIROV: I waive the right.

THE COURT: And how do you plead?

DEFENDANT SOBIROV: Not guilty.

THE COURT: OK. All right. Thank you.

Arraignment

And now to the government, Ms. Vogel, what is the status of discovery and what does it entail?

MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor. This is Cecilia Vogel speaking.

So the discovery generally includes a large volume of financial records, bank records, other Grand Jury subpoena records related to the underlying healthcare fraud. There are also a number of recorded calls involving a confidential source and an undercover and recorded meets as well as WhatsApp communications as part of those operations with the confidential source and undercover. There were a number of cell phones seized at the time of the arrest and so there are those cell phones as well, as well as a number of agent reports. That is sort of generally the major categories of discovery.

Discovery has not yet been produced. We've submitted a proposed protective order to defense counsel, and I believe we've either received that back from everyone or maybe have one or two outstanding, and we'll submit that to your Honor shortly.

We ask to have until January 15th -- I know that is a little ways off -- to produce discovery apart from the cell phones, which may take longer than that, but we believe to produce the recordings and the other materials I described, that we can do that by the 15th, and, if possible, we will do

Arraignment

so on a rolling basis in order to produce some of these materials before the 15th. But the cell phones, just making copies of that, in part given some of the limitations in terms of staffing at SDI in our office because of COVID, it just is taking — it takes longer, and there is, I think, approximately ten or more cell phones in this case.

THE COURT: All right. If the government were to produce discovery by January 15th, what would defense counsel propose in terms of next steps in this case?

(Pause)

I don't know if no one is speaking. It is hard to imagine no lawyer wants to speak.

Mr. Dayan.

MR. DAYAN: Yes. Thank you so much, Judge.

I suggest that you give us maybe, if possible, maybe 45 days to review it, and then, you know, just let the discovery come in on a rolling basis from that point on.

THE COURT: All right. Does anyone -- does any counsel object to adjourning this matter until the end of February and meeting again then, and at that point in time we can see where we are, hopefully schedule a trial date and a motion schedule? Is there any objection to that?

MR. SHARIFOV: I don't have any objection, Judge. No objections.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objections.

```
12
     Kcqdrasc
                         Arraignment
1
              MR. MULLEN: No objection on behalf of Mr. Yunusov.
              MR. BAXTER: Nothing from the Defendant Sobirov as
2
3
     well.
4
              THE COURT: All right. So that's what we'll do.
5
              Ms. Cavale, could you please propose a date at the end
6
     of February when we could meet again?
7
              THE CLERK: Sure. How is February 26th, 2021 at
     2 o'clock?
8
9
              THE COURT: Does February 26th work for everyone.
10
              MR. SHARIFOV: That will work for me, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: If you could just also state your names
12
     when you are speaking?
13
              MR. SHARIFOV: Sure. Rovshan Sharifov for
     Mr. Rasulov, and February 26 is acceptable to me, your Honor.
14
15
              MR. DAYAN: February 26 for Albert Dayan is also
16
     acceptable.
17
              MR. MULLEN: February 26 for Mr. Yunusov is also
18
     acceptable. This is Mike Mullen again, Judge.
19
              MR. BAXTER: This is Jason Baxter. February 26 is
20
     acceptable to me as well.
21
              What was the time for it again?
22
              THE CLERK: 2 o'clock.
```

Mr. Rahmankulov. February 26 is fine with us at 2 o'clock.

This is Joseph Murray for

MR. MULLEN: Thank you.

MR. MURRAY:

23

24

25

Arraignment

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Now, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5(f), I want to remind the government of its obligation, under Brady v. Maryland and its progeny, to disclose to the defense all information, admissible or not, that is favorable to the defendants, material either to guilt or to punishment, and known to the government. The government must make good faith efforts to disclose such information to the defense as soon as reasonably possible after its existence becomes known to the government.

I will enter a written order confirming the government's <u>Brady</u> obligations following this proceeding that also includes information about possible consequences for failure to comply.

Ms. Vogel, can you confirm that you will indeed live up to your obligations under the law?

MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor. I confirm that.

THE COURT: All right. Is the government seeking to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act until February 26th?

MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there any objection?

(Pause)

MR. SHARIFOV: Nothing from Mr. -- I think I speak for the defendants at large. There is no objection on behalf of the defendants.

Arraignment

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objection, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm going to exclude time from today until February 26 pursuant to 18 United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A). I find that the ends of justice served by excluding such time outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial because it will allow time for the production of discovery, which is more complicated in light of the pandemic, allow counsel and defendants to review that discovery, to consider any motions they would like to make, and discuss any possible disposition of this case.

Are there any other applications at this time?
(Pause)

MS. VOGEL: Not from the government, your Honor.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not from the defense either.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just as a condition of my client's bail, in order to afford him the ability to work -- if you prefer I will put this up in a letter, Judge -- he has a CDL and would like to be able to go out of state to work as a truck driver. I did speak to Ms. Jackson, of Pretrial Services, and she indicated as long as he has the ability to travel throughout the continental United States but must check with her before leaving the jurisdiction, if that's suitable for the Court, and, also, he is an Uber driver as well. I would just ask that he be allowed, because they don't know where the route will lead them, that if he is allowed to pick

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Arraignment

up a route to go to either Jersey or Connecticut from New York,

if he picks up a route. That is my only request, Judge.

THE COURT: Is there any objection to that with

THE COURT: Is there any objection to that with respect to Mr. Sobirov?

THE REPORTER: Judge, I'm sorry. This is the court reporter.

May I know who just spoke?

THE COURT: That was Mr. Baxter, Jason Baxter, speaking on behalf of Mr. Sobirov.

MR. MURRAY: Judge, I'm sorry. This is Joseph Murray on behalf of Djonibek Rahmankulov. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought I saw Mr. Baxter speaking but I clearly saw wrong, so I apologize for that.

Thank you, Mr. Murray.

MR. MURRAY: That is my problem. I'm sorry, Judge.

THE COURT: OK. So is there any objection on behalf of the government?

MS. VOGEL: Cecilia Vogel speaking.

No, no objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. I do think it's preferable if you just put that in a letter so that we have it on the docket, Mr. Murray, so I would just ask you to do that.

MR. MURRAY: Absolutely. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. MULLEN: Judge, this is Michael Mullen for

Arraignment

Mr. Yunusov and I have a similar application, and I can also put mine in writing as well, Judge. My client also drives for a medical transportation company — Elite Management is the name of it — who at times makes requests that he travel to New Jersey or upstate New York, and he has turned down those jobs and it has sort of affected his ability to bring home money to support himself. He has also asked Pretrial Services as well, and they said to direct the question to the Court, Judge. So I also have a similar request and I can also put mine in writing and file it via ECF as well.

THE COURT: Ms. Vogel, do you have an objection to that?

MS. VOGEL: Actually, your Honor, this is the first time I'm hearing that request, so I would just appreciate -- I don't anticipate having an objection, but I would appreciate an opportunity before counsel submits his letter to speak with him and speak with the supervising pretrial officer.

THE COURT: If you could do that and please put the government's position in the letter, assuming there is no objection, I will approve that request as well. But I do think it should be in writing so we have it clearly on the docket.

MR. MULLEN: Judge, my apologies to counsel. I just found out about the request today, so I didn't have an opportunity to run it by her first. But I will definitely speak to her before I put my written request in, Judge.

23

24

25